Criminal and civil law are traditionally separate disciplines. They remedy different types of wrongs: civil law refers to private wrongs and criminal law to public ones. But increasingly, the line between these disciplines has blurred. Criminal penalties may be monetary and involve restitution to victims, who are often private parties. Strict liability criminal statutes require no mens rea. These are more than definitional or theoretical issues, and this seminar explores the practical problems that arise at the crossroads of criminal and civil law.

Today, every high profile criminal matter – whether Rajaratnam, Madoff or Harvey Weinstein – involves parallel civil litigation, such as an SEC enforcement action, a state attorney general lawsuit, a private class action or a tort claim. For many defendants caught up in a criminal investigation, the consequences of companion civil litigation or regulatory proceedings may be as serious as the criminal investigation; companies may be barred from lines of business, or put out of business entirely, and individuals may face loss of a law, accounting or securities license.

No lawyer can competently represent a client confronting cases at these crossroads without analyzing the ramifications of an action taken in one context for the other. What are the consequences, for a related civil case, of asserting Fifth Amendment rights in the context of a criminal prosecution? What are the consequences, for related civil litigation, of entering a guilty plea in a criminal proceeding? Can a party provide the government with an internal investigation report, but withhold that report from adversaries in civil discovery?

This class addresses these sorts of issues each week, taking into account perspectives of government prosecutors and regulators, private civil and criminal counsel, and the court.

**Week 1: Introduction to the Theoretical Framework and Practical Problems**

A. Traditional conceptual differences

- private versus public wrongs; remedies, restitution and victims’ rights; corporate criminal liability, RICO

B. Common procedural settings

- street crimes and white collar crimes
- simultaneous proceedings by different branches of the government.
- how does the government decide if a matter is criminal or civil? *United States v. Goyal*, 629 F.3d 912 (9th Cir. 2010)
C. Practical problems

   defending in multiple forums
   concurrent criminal and civil jurisdiction
   civil investigative demands and administrative subpoenas
   balancing issues relating to discovery and privilege

Week 2: Strategies in Parallel Criminal and Civil Cases

   What is the effect of collateral estoppel and how does that drive litigation strategy?


   B. SEC v. Monarch Funding Corp., 192 F.3d 295 (2d Cir. 1999)

Week 3: Case Study: The many civil and criminal proceedings relating to Pfizer’s drug Bextra

   This case study touches on almost every issue in the class. The readings discuss criminal cases, civil cases brought by states and the federal government, a qui tam action, a shareholder derivative lawsuit and products liability actions.

   A. Consolidated, Amended and verified Shareholder Derivative Complaint
      In re Pfizer Shareholder Derivative Action, 09-CV-7822 (JSR) (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 18, 2009)

   B. Press Release, USAO, District of Massachusetts, June 18, 2009

   C. Bextra and Celebrex Settlement, Settlement Information Website, http://www.bextracelebexsettlement.com

   D. Griffin and A. Segal, Feds found Pfizer too big to nail, CNN.com

Week 4: Basic background: The Importance of Cooperation, and its implication for the Government, a Company and Company Employees


   B. United States v. Stein, 541 F.3d 130 (2d Cir. 2008)
Week 5:  The Blurred Line between Criminal and Civil law

The line between criminal and civil law blurs when criminal liability can be imposed even absent *mens rea*. And how can corporations, which are legal “persons”, ever have criminal intent?

A.  *United States v. De Coster*, ___ F.3d ___, No. 15-1890 (8th Cir., July 6, 2016)


C.  *United States v. Weitzenhoff*, 35 F.3d 1275 (9th Cir. 1993) (en banc)


Week 6:  “Parallel” Civil and Criminal Proceedings

Often the government brings criminal and civil actions relating to the same transaction. Federal securities laws is an area in which such parallel proceedings often arise. At other times, the government brings criminal charges and a private party brings a civil action relating to the same transaction. Sometimes the civil action follows the criminal one and sometimes it precedes or instigates the criminal action.


D.  *United States Attorneys’ Manual*, Section 932
Week 7: Constitutional Issues

Does a party faced with what can be an overwhelming onslaught of litigation have any constitutional protection?


C. *United States v. Stringer*, 535 F.3d 929 (9th Cir. 2008)
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Week 8: The Fifth Amendment Privilege Against Self-Incrimination

One of the most difficult tactical problems parallel proceedings raise is whether or not to invoke the privilege against self-incrimination. Can a witness simultaneously invoke the privilege and claim innocence? What are the consequences of invoking the privilege?

A. *Ohio v. Reiner*, 532 U.S. 17 (2001)


D. *La Salle Bank v. Seguban*, 54. F.3d 387 (7th Cir. 1995)

Week 9: More Readings On The Fifth Amendment Privilege

What happens when an employer coerces cooperation?


C. *D.L. Cromwell Investments, Inc. v. NASD Regulation, Inc.*, 279 F.3d 155 (2d Cir. 2002)
Week 10: Practical Considerations In Connection with Invoking The Fifth Amendment

How does one invoke the privilege? What showing does one have to make to claim protection?

A. United States v. Morganroth, 718 F.2d 161 (6th Cir. 1983)
B. Rad Services, Inc. v. Aetna Casualty, 808 F.2d 271 (3rd Cir. 1986)
C. Brink’s Inc. v. City of New York, 717 F.2d 700 (2d Cir. 1983)
D. United States v. Tuzman, 15 Cr. 536 (PGG), (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 27, 2017)

Week 11: Discovery Issues Other Than Fifth Amendment Questions

Parallel proceedings raise a host of other discovery issues as well. What are the consequences of a private party’s sharing documents with the government? Conversely, what are the consequences of a prosecutor disclosing material to a criminal defendant?

A. Document production and the selective waiver doctrine
   In re Pacific Pictures Corp., 679 F.3d 1121 (9th Cir. 2012)
B. Wiretaps
   SEC v. Rajaratnam, 622 F.3d 159 (2d Cir. 2010)
C. Civil protective orders and criminal investigations
   In re Grand Jury Subpoena Served on Meserve, Mumper & Hughes, 62 F.3d 1222 (9th Cir. 1995)

Week 12: Other Discovery and Evidentiary Issues

A. Grand Jury Materials
   In re Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation, 06-MD-01775 (JG) (VVP) United States District Court, Eastern District of New York
B. Brady Issues
C. Can a party in a civil trial comment on an adversary’s failure to testify at an earlier, related criminal trial?


Week 13: Stay of Civil Proceedings

Parties seek to avoid the privilege and discovery issues we have been discussing by seeking a stay of the parallel civil proceeding. Sometimes the government seeks a stay. In other cases, private litigants seek to stay civil discovery.

What circumstances might cause a private litigant to seek a stay? When might the government want to stay a parallel civil action? Some courts have questioned the fairness of the government’s commencing simultaneous parallel actions and moving to stay civil discovery. Is there a rule that courts should follow in deciding these issues, or should such motions to stay be decided on a case-by-case basis because they are too fact-specific?

A. SEC v. Nicholas, Case No. SACV 08-539-CJC (RNB) United States District Court, Central District of California, William J. Ruehle’s Opposition to The Government’s Motion to Stay Discovery

B. SEC v. Meli, Case No. 17-cv-632-LLS United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Defendants’ Motion to Stay

C. SEC v. Meli, Case No. 17-cv-632-LLS United States District Court, Southern District of New York, SEC’s Response to Defendants’ Motion to Stay