IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA



THOMAS H. KRAKAUER,)
Plaintiff,	
v.	1:14-CV-333
DISH NETWORK L.L.C.,	
Defendant.))
VERDI	ICT SHEET
1. Was SSN acting as Dish's agent wh May 11, 2010, through August 1, 2011?	nen it made the telephone calls at issue from
[YES	
[] NO	
If Yes, continue to Question . verdict sheet.	2. If No, skip all other questions and sign the
	receive at least two telephone solicitations to a period by or on behalf of Dish, when their ne National Do Not Call Registry?
[VES as to Dr. Krakauer and	all class members
If Yes, continue to Question.	3 and skip the following questions.
[] YES as to Dr. Krakauer and numbers plaintiff has not pro	all class members except the following, whose even were residential:
[] Telephone numbers the "unknown"	nat LexisNexis always identifies as
[] Telephone numbers the May 11, 2010 or after	nat LexisNexis identifies as residential before August 1, 2011

]]	Telephone numbers that LexisNexis identifies as "unknown" in the May 2010 to August 2011 time period that the calls were made but identifies differently at other times
[]	Telephone numbers that LexisNexis identifies as both residential and "unknown"
[]	Telephone numbers that LexisNexis always identifies as residential, including in the May 2010 to August 2011 time period that the calls were made
]]	Telephone numbers that LexisNexis identifies as cellular and possibly cellular
[] N	Ю	
		answer Yes in whole or in part, also answer Question 3. If you or No to Question 2, skip Question 3 and sign the verdict sheet.
3. What an TCPA?	noun H	t, up to \$500, do you award for each call made in violation of the
Ylota	d	Nachen 01/19/2017
Forepers	son	Date