1 2	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo (State Bar No. 168389) Howard Hirsch (State Bar No. 213209)		
3	Lisa Burger (State Bar No. 239676) 503 Divisadero Street		
4	San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800		
5	Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com		
6	hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com lburger@lexlawgroup.com		
7 8	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
9			
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIEODNIA	
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
12			
13			
14	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL	Case No.	
	HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,		
15	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
15 16	, ,	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
	Plaintiff, vs. ADVANTAGE RESEARCH	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
16 17 18	Plaintiff, vs.  ADVANTAGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC.; AUBREY ORGANICS, INC.; BEAUTY WITHOUT	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
16 17	Plaintiff, vs.  ADVANTAGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC.; AUBREY ORGANICS, INC.; BEAUTY WITHOUT CRUELTY; BOOTS RETAIL USA INC.; THE BOOTS COMPANY PLC; CALIFORNIA	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
16 17 18	Plaintiff,  vs.  ADVANTAGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC.; AUBREY ORGANICS, INC.; BEAUTY WITHOUT CRUELTY; BOOTS RETAIL USA INC.; THE BOOTS COMPANY PLC; CALIFORNIA INSIDE & OUT, INC.; COLOMER U.S.A. LTD.; COSWAY COMPANY, INC.; CURLS,	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
16 17 18 19	Plaintiff,  vs.  ADVANTAGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC.; AUBREY ORGANICS, INC.; BEAUTY WITHOUT CRUELTY; BOOTS RETAIL USA INC.; THE BOOTS COMPANY PLC; CALIFORNIA INSIDE & OUT, INC.; COLOMER U.S.A. LTD.; COSWAY COMPANY, INC.; CURLS, LLC; DERMA E NATURAL BODYCARE; HEAD ORGANICS COMPANY; THE	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
16 17 18 19 20	Plaintiff,  vs.  ADVANTAGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC.; AUBREY ORGANICS, INC.; BEAUTY WITHOUT CRUELTY; BOOTS RETAIL USA INC.; THE BOOTS COMPANY PLC; CALIFORNIA INSIDE & OUT, INC.; COLOMER U.S.A. LTD.; COSWAY COMPANY, INC.; CURLS, LLC; DERMA E NATURAL BODYCARE; HEAD ORGANICS COMPANY; THE HIMALAYA DRUG COMPANY; HOUSE OF CHEATHAM, INC.; INTERNATIONAL	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
16 17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff,  vs.  ADVANTAGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC.; AUBREY ORGANICS, INC.; BEAUTY WITHOUT CRUELTY; BOOTS RETAIL USA INC.; THE BOOTS COMPANY PLC; CALIFORNIA INSIDE & OUT, INC.; COLOMER U.S.A. LTD.; COSWAY COMPANY, INC.; CURLS, LLC; DERMA E NATURAL BODYCARE; HEAD ORGANICS COMPANY; THE HIMALAYA DRUG COMPANY; HOUSE OF CHEATHAM, INC.; INTERNATIONAL TRADE ROUTES OF NEW YORK, INC.; KINKY-CURLY HAIR CARE, LLC; KISS	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff,  vs.  ADVANTAGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC.; AUBREY ORGANICS, INC.; BEAUTY WITHOUT CRUELTY; BOOTS RETAIL USA INC.; THE BOOTS COMPANY PLC; CALIFORNIA INSIDE & OUT, INC.; COLOMER U.S.A. LTD.; COSWAY COMPANY, INC.; CURLS, LLC; DERMA E NATURAL BODYCARE; HEAD ORGANICS COMPANY; THE HIMALAYA DRUG COMPANY; HOUSE OF CHEATHAM, INC.; INTERNATIONAL TRADE ROUTES OF NEW YORK, INC.; KINKY-CURLY HAIR CARE, LLC; KISS MY FACE CORPORATION; LABORATORIOS PHERGAL, S.A.; LAFE'S	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff,  vs.  ADVANTAGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC.; AUBREY ORGANICS, INC.; BEAUTY WITHOUT CRUELTY; BOOTS RETAIL USA INC.; THE BOOTS COMPANY PLC; CALIFORNIA INSIDE & OUT, INC.; COLOMER U.S.A. LTD.; COSWAY COMPANY, INC.; CURLS, LLC; DERMA E NATURAL BODYCARE; HEAD ORGANICS COMPANY; THE HIMALAYA DRUG COMPANY; HOUSE OF CHEATHAM, INC.; INTERNATIONAL TRADE ROUTES OF NEW YORK, INC.; KINKY-CURLY HAIR CARE, LLC; KISS MY FACE CORPORATION; LABORATORIOS PHERGAL, S.A.; LAFE'S NATURAL BODYCARE, INC.; LAFE T. LARSON, INC.; LOTUS BRANDS, INC.;	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff,  vs.  ADVANTAGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC.; AUBREY ORGANICS, INC.; BEAUTY WITHOUT CRUELTY; BOOTS RETAIL USA INC.; THE BOOTS COMPANY PLC; CALIFORNIA INSIDE & OUT, INC.; COLOMER U.S.A. LTD.; COSWAY COMPANY, INC.; CURLS, LLC; DERMA E NATURAL BODYCARE; HEAD ORGANICS COMPANY; THE HIMALAYA DRUG COMPANY; THE HIMALAYA DRUG COMPANY; HOUSE OF CHEATHAM, INC.; INTERNATIONAL TRADE ROUTES OF NEW YORK, INC.; KINKY-CURLY HAIR CARE, LLC; KISS MY FACE CORPORATION; LABORATORIOS PHERGAL, S.A.; LAFE'S NATURAL BODYCARE, INC.; LAFE T. LARSON, INC.; LOTUS BRANDS, INC.; MORROCCO METHOD, INC.; MURRAY'S WORLDWIDE, INC.; NAMASTÉ	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff,  vs.  ADVANTAGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC.; AUBREY ORGANICS, INC.; BEAUTY WITHOUT CRUELTY; BOOTS RETAIL USA INC.; THE BOOTS COMPANY PLC; CALIFORNIA INSIDE & OUT, INC.; COLOMER U.S.A. LTD.; COSWAY COMPANY, INC.; CURLS, LLC; DERMA E NATURAL BODYCARE; HEAD ORGANICS COMPANY; THE HIMALAYA DRUG COMPANY; HOUSE OF CHEATHAM, INC.; INTERNATIONAL TRADE ROUTES OF NEW YORK, INC.; KINKY-CURLY HAIR CARE, LLC; KISS MY FACE CORPORATION; LABORATORIOS PHERGAL, S.A.; LAFE'S NATURAL BODYCARE, INC.; LAFE T. LARSON, INC.; LOTUS BRANDS, INC.; MORROCCO METHOD, INC.; MURRAY'S	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	

COMPLAINT – CEH v. ADVANTAGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC., ET. AL.

INC. DBA NUTRIBIOTIC; RAINBOW RESEARCH CORPORATION; RENPURE, L.L.C.; STEARNS PRODUCTS, INC.; STRENGTH OF NATURE GLOBAL, LLC; SUNDIAL BRANDS LLC; SUNDIAL CREATIONS LLC; SUNDIAL GROUP LLC; TODD CHRISTOPHER INTERNATIONAL, INC.; VOGUE INTERNATIONAL; and Defendant DOES 1-100, Defendants. 

COMPLAINT - CEH v. ADVANTAGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC., ET. AL.

26

27

28

Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), based on information and belief and investigation of counsel, except for information based on personal knowledge, hereby alleges:

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1. This Complaint seeks to remedy the illegal sale of cosmetic products by defendants Advantage Research Laboratories, Inc.; Aubrey Organics, Inc.; Beauty Without Cruelty; Boots Retail USA Inc.; The Boots Company PLC; California Inside & Out, Inc.; Colomer U.S.A. Ltd.; Cosway Company, Inc.; Curls, LLC; derma e Natural Bodycare; Head Organics Company; The Himalaya Drug Company; House of Cheatham, Inc.; International Trade Routes of New York, Inc.; Kinky-Curly Hair Care, LLC; Kiss My Face Corporation; Laboratorios Phergal, S.A.; Lafe's Natural BodyCare, Inc.; Lafe T. Larson, Inc.; Lotus Brands, Inc.; Morrocco Method, Inc.; Murray's Worldwide, Inc.; Namasté Laboratories, L.L.C.; Nature's Baby Products, Inc.; Nubian Heritage Group LLC; Nutrition Resource, Inc. dba NutriBiotic; Rainbow Research Corporation; Renpure, L.L.C.; Stearns Products, Inc.; Strength of Nature Global, LLC; Sundial Brands LLC; Sundial Creations LLC; Sundial Group LLC; Todd Christopher International, Inc.; and Vogue International (collectively, "Defendants"). Defendants sell cosmetic products (also referred to as personal care products) which are marketed, labeled and sold as "organic," but which in fact contain less than 70% organic ingredients (the "Products"). The Products are all marketed and labeled as organic, yet are largely comprised of ingredients that are not organic.
- 2. Organic products are made with organically grown plants. As such, organic ingredients are produced without the use of pesticides and other harmful or potentially harmful chemicals. Organic products have gained popularity such that over 70% of households in the United States now use some organic products each year, even though such products typically cost more than their non-organic counterparts. Growing concerns over the use of harmful chemicals in the production of non-organic products, together with a desire for more healthy lifestyles, have spurred the popularity of organic products. One of the fastest growing markets for organic products is that of organic personal care cosmetic products. Consumers are willing to pay more for organic personal care products such as skin care, hair care, body care, oral care, deodorant and

sunscreen products in order to avoid harmful chemicals in favor of more natural ingredients.

Defendants take advantage of this segment of consumers by labeling the Products as organic, when in fact such Products contain significant amounts of non-organic ingredients.

- 3. California law expressly prohibits companies such as Defendants from engaging in this type of misleading labeling. The California Organic Products Act of 2003 ("COPA") requires that any cosmetic product sold, labeled and/or represented as "organic" must be comprised of at least 70% organic ingredients by weight. Nevertheless, Defendants advertise, market, sell and label the Products, which do not contain 70% organic ingredients by weight, as organic.
- 4. Defendants' conduct of advertising, marketing, selling and labeling the Products as organic, when in fact such Products contain less than 70% organic ingredients, constitutes violations of COPA. Accordingly, pursuant to California Civil Code § 111910, CEH seeks an order enjoining Defendants' false and misleading labeling.

### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff CEH is a non-profit corporation dedicated to protecting the public from environmental health hazards and toxic exposures. CEH is based in Oakland, California, and incorporated under the laws of the State of California. CEH is a "person" within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 111910(a) and brings this action solely in that capacity. CEH is a nationally recognized non-profit environmental advocacy group that is concerned with the misrepresentation of products as organic when such products are not.
- 6. Defendant ADVANTAGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC. is a corporation with its principal place of business in Oak Park, Michigan. Defendant Advantage Research Laboratories, Inc. advertises, markets, distributes and/or sells the Products in California.
- 7. Defendant AUBREY ORGANICS, INC. is a corporation with its principal place of business in Tampa, Florida. Defendant Aubrey Organics, Inc. advertises, markets, distributes and/or sells the Products in California.
- 8. Defendant BEAUTY WITHOUT CRUELTY is a corporation with its principal place of business in Twin Lakes, Wisconsin. Defendant Beauty Without Cruelty advertises, markets, distributes and/or sells the Products in California.

17

18

21

23

25

26

27

business in Stamford, Connecticut. Defendant Boots Retail USA Inc. advertises, markets, Defendant THE BOOTS COMPANY PLC is a corporation with its principal place of business in Nottingham, England. Defendant The Boots Company PLC advertises, markets, Defendant CALIFORNIA INSIDE & OUT, INC. is a corporation with its principal place of business in El Segundo, California. Defendant California Inside & Out, Inc. advertises, Defendant COLOMER U.S.A. LTD. is a corporation with its principal place of business in Jacksonville, Florida. Defendant Colomer U.S.A. Ltd. advertises, markets, distributes Defendant COSWAY COMPANY, INC. is a corporation with its principal place of business in Carson, California. Defendant Cosway Company, Inc. advertises, markets, distributes Defendant CURLS, LLC is a limited liability corporation with its principal place of business in Elk Grove, California. Defendant Curls, LLC advertises, markets, distributes and/or Defendant DERMA E NATURAL BODYCARE is a corporation with its principal place of business in Simi Valley, California. Defendant derma e Natural Bodycare advertises, Defendant HEAD ORGANICS COMPANY is a corporation with its principal place of business in Carson, California. Defendant Head Organics Company advertises, markets, Defendant THE HIMALAYA DRUG COMPANY is a corporation with its principal place of business in Houston, Texas. Defendant The Himalaya Drug Company

COMPLAINT - CEH v. ADVANTAGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC., ET. AL.

COMPLAINT - CEH v. ADVANTAGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC., ET. AL.

- 36. Defendant SUNDIAL BRANDS LLC is a limited liability corporation with its principal place of business in Amityville, New York. Defendant Sundial Brands LLC advertises, markets, distributes and/or sells the Products in California.
- 37. Defendant SUNDIAL CREATIONS LLC is a limited liability corporation with its principal place of business in Amityville, New York. Defendant Sundial Creations LLC advertises, markets, distributes and/or sells the Products in California.
- 38. Defendant SUNDIAL GROUP LLC is a limited liability corporation with its principal place of business in Amityville, New York. Defendant Sundial Group LLC advertises, markets, distributes and/or sells the Products in California.
- 39. Defendant TODD CHRISTOPHER INTERNATIONAL, INC. is a corporation with its principal place of business in Oldsmar, Florida. Defendant Todd Christopher International, Inc. advertises, markets, distributes and/or sells the Products in California.
- 40. Defendant VOGUE INTERNATIONAL is a corporation with its principal place of business in Oldsmar, Florida. Defendant Vogue International advertises, markets, distributes and/or sells the Products in California.
- 41. DOES 1 through 100 are persons or entities whose true names and capacities are presently unknown to Plaintiff, and who therefore are sued by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of the fictitiously named defendants perpetrated some or all of the wrongful acts alleged herein and are responsible in some manner for the matters alleged herein. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to state the true names and capacities of such fictitiously named defendants when ascertained.
- 42. The defendants identified in paragraphs 6-40 and DOES 1-100 are collectively referred to herein as "Defendants."

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 43. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 111910(a), which provides that "any person may bring an action in superior court pursuant to this section and the court shall have jurisdiction..."
  - 44. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because each is a corporation or other

entity that has sufficient minimum contacts in California, is a citizen of California, or otherwise intentionally avails itself of the California market either through the distribution, sale and/or marketing of the Products in the State of California or by having a facility located in California so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

45. Venue in the County of Alameda is proper under California Civil Code § 111910 because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, CEH is a resident of this County and the Products are sold throughout this County.

### BACKGROUND FACTS

- 46. Defendants advertise, market and label the Products as organic.
- 47. In recognition of the fact that consumers will pay more for organic products,
  Defendants prominently place the word "organic" on every label of the Products. Nevertheless,
  the Products are largely comprised of ingredients which Defendants admit are not organic.
- 48. While prominently displaying the word "organic" on every Product, Defendants also include an ingredient list in small print on the back label of each Product. The list of ingredients is typically in a substantially smaller font than the representation that the Product is organic. The list of ingredients identifies the ingredients which are organically produced either with an asterisk (\*) or by including the word "organic" with the particular organic ingredient. Based on Defendants' own ingredient list, the ingredients designated on the list of ingredients do not comprise 70% or more of the Products by weight and/or volume.
- 49. The Products are all intended to be rubbed, poured, sprinkled, or sprayed on, introduced into, or otherwise applied to, the human body, or any part of the human body, for cleansing, beautifying, promoting attractiveness, or altering the appearance, and are thus "cosmetics" under California law. Health & Safety Code § 109900.
- 50. COPA includes strict, objective standards regarding what constitutes an organic cosmetic product. Under COPA, "cosmetic products sold, labeled, or represented as organic or made with organic ingredients shall contain, at least 70 percent organically produced ingredients." Health & Safety Code § 110838(a). Calculating the percentage of organically produced

11 12

13

14

10

15 16 17

18

20

21

22

23

19

24 25

26 27

3 over the total weight of the product excluding the weight of water and salt. *Id.* at § 110838(b). For products that are sold in liquid form, the percentage of organic ingredients is calculated by dividing the fluid volume of the organic ingredients by the fluid volume of the product as a whole 5 excluding water and salt. Ibid.

51. Under COPA, "sold as organic" means "any use of the term 'organic,' 'organically grown,' or grammatical variations of those terms, whether orally or in writing, in connection with any product grown, handled, processed, sold, or offered for sale in this state, including, but not limited to, any use of these terms in labeling or advertising of any product and any ingredient in a multi-ingredient product." Health & Safety Code § 110815(k).

ingredients under COPA is straightforward. For products that are sold in solid form, the

percentage of organic ingredients is calculated by dividing the weight of the organic ingredients

- 52. COPA further provides strict limitations on the labeling of products that contain less than 70% of organically produced ingredients, but seek to identify certain ingredients as organic. Products that contain some, but less than 70%, organically produced ingredients may only identify the organically produced ingredients in one of two ways: (1) by identifying each organically produced ingredient in the ingredient statement with the word "organic" or with an asterisk or other reference mark that is defined below the ingredient; or (2) by displaying the product's percentage of organic contents on the information panel. Health & Safety Code § 110839. Thus, the labeling of Products that contain less than 70% of organically produced ingredients may not include statements such as "made with organic ingredients" or "contains organic ingredients."
- 53. The Products are all "sold as organic" pursuant to COPA as they are advertised and labeled as "organic" and sold in California. The Products all violate COPA as they contain less than 70% organically produced ingredients.
- 54. For example, the Parnevu® T-Tree™ No-Lye Conditioning Relaxer System by Defendants Advantage Research Laboratories, Inc. and Murray's Worldwide, Inc. is representative of other Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold in California by Defendants Advantage Research Laboratories, Inc. and Murray's Worldwide, Inc. that, by Defendants' own admissions,

ingredients in descending order of predominance. 21 C.F.R. § 701.3.

Alba Extract, Rosa Canina Fruit Extract, Chondrus Crispus (Carrageenan) Extract, Tussilago Farfara (Coltsfoot) Flower Extract, Prunus Serotina (Wild Cherry) Bark Extract, Taraxacum Officinale (Dandelion) Extract, Sambucus Nigra, Equisetum Hiemale Extract, Echinacea Purpurea Extract, Rumex Crispus Root Extract, Brewers Yeast], Polyquaternium-10, Hydrolyzed Collagen, Disodium EDTA, Citric Acid, Sodium Chloride, Phenolsulfonphthalein, Parfum (Fragrance), Benzyl Benzoate Methylparaben, Propylparaben, Diazolidinyl Urea.

- E) Parnevu® T-Tree<sup>TM</sup> Moisture Plus Crème Conditioner: Water (Aqua), Lauryldimonium Hydroxypropyl Hydrolyzed Collagen, Dihydroxyethyl Tallow Glycinate, Petrolatum, Cetyl Alcohol, Dicetyldimonium Chloride, Cyclomethicone, Herbal Extracts: [Water (Aqua), Urtica Dioica (Nettle) Extract, Rosmarinus Officinalis (Rosemary) Leaf Extract, Arctium Lappa Root Extract, Betula Alba Extract, Rosa Canina Fruit Extract, Chondrus Crispus (Carrageenan) Extract, Tussilago Farfara (Coltsfoot) Flower Extract, Prunus Serotina (Wild Cherry) Bark Extract, Taraxacum Officinale (Dandelion) Extract, Sambucus Nigra, Equisetum Hiemale Extract, Echinacea Purpurea Extract, Rumex Crispus Root Extract, Brewers Yeast], Stearyl Alcohol, Stearamidopropyl Dimethylamine, Propylene Glycol, Cetearyl Alcohol, Lactic Acid, Ceteareth-20, Melaleuca Alternifolia (Tea Tree) Leaf Oil, Panthenol, Fragrance (Parfum), Amyl Cinnamal, Imidazolidinyl Urea, Methylparaben, Propylparaben.
- F) Parneyu® T-Tree™ Scalp Oil: Arachis Hypogaea (Peanut) Oil, Ricinus Communis (Castor) Seed Oil, Canola Oil (Canola), Olea Europaea (Olive) Fruit Oil, Triticum Vulgare (Wheat) Germ Oil,

soja (soybean) oil, daucus carota sativa (carrot) root extract, beta-

1	
2	
3	
4	Thus
5	Defe
6	juice
7	(eve
8	the F
9	in th
10	ingre
11	requ
12	Heal
13	
14	and :
15	Cali
16	own

carotene.

\*Organic

\*\* From sources within the USA

Thus, of the twenty-six ingredients listed on the back label, only four are certified organic by **Defendant's own admission** and those three organic ingredients – aloe barbadensis (aloe) leaf juice, alcohol denat. (38b, lavender), simmondsia chinensis (jojoba) seed oil and oenothera biennis (evening primrose) oil – are only the third, sixth, eighth and ninth most predominant ingredients in the Product (excluding water). As the third, sixth, eighth and ninth most predominant ingredients in the Collagen & Almond Enriching Moisturizing Lotion (excluding water), these four organic ingredients cannot possibly make up more than 44% of such Product, far less than the 70% required under COPA. Accordingly, Defendant Aubrey Organics, Inc. has violated COPA. Health & Safety Code § 110890(a).

56. The Organic Aromatherapy Facial Cleanser by Defendants Beauty Without Cruelty and Lotus Brands, Inc. is representative of other Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold in California by Defendants Beauty Without Cruelty and Lotus Brands, Inc. that, by Defendants' own admissions, contain far less than 70% organic ingredients, yet are labeled as "organic." Specifically, the Organic Aromatherapy Facial Cleanser states on the front label that the Product is "organic." However, the list of ingredients on the back label of the Product identifies the following ingredients in the following order:

Water, cetyl alcohol, stearyl alcohol, glycerin, lauryl glucoside,
Prunus armeniaca (apricot) kernel oil, Simmondsia chinensis
(jojoba) seed oil, Prunus amygdalus dulcis (almond) seed oil, Rosa
canina (rose hip) seed oil, Persea gratissima (avocado) oil,
Pelargonium roseum (geranium) leaf oil\*, Lavandula hybrid
(lavandin) flower oil\*, Mentha spicata (spearmint) oil\*, Rosmarinus
officinalis (rosemary) oil\*, Aloe barbadensis (aloe vera) leaf juice,
Macrocystis pyrifera (kelp) extract, Pyrus malus (apple) fruit
extract, Citrus medica limonum (lemon) peel extract, Citrus

1	aurantium dulcis (orange) peel extract, honey extract, allantoin,		
2	panthenol, sorbitol, sodium stearoyl glutamate, phenoxyethanol,		
3	benzyl alcohol, potassium sorbate, tocopherol, tocopheryl acetate,		
4	ascorbic acid, citric acid.		
5	* Certified Organic		
6	Thus, of the thirty ingredients listed on the back label, only four are certified organic by		
7	Defendants' own admission and those four organic ingredients – Pelargonium roseum (geranium)		
8	leaf oil, Lavandula hybrid (lavandin) flower oil, Mentha spicata (spearmint) oil and Rosmarinus		
9	officinalis (rosemary) oil – are only the tenth through thirteenth most predominant ingredients in		
10	the Product (excluding water). As the tenth through thirteenth most predominant ingredients in		
11	the Organic Aromatherapy Facial Cleanser (excluding water), these four organic ingredients		
12	cannot possibly make up more than 30% of such Product, far less than the 70% required under		
13	COPA. Accordingly, Defendants Beauty Without Cruelty and Lotus Brands, Inc. have violated		
14	COPA. Health & Safety Code § 110890(a).		
15	57. The Boots Amazon Forest Brazil Nut & Vanilla Body Wash by Defendants Boots		
16	Retail USA Inc. and The Boots Company PLC is representative of other Products manufactured,		
17	distributed and/or sold in California by Defendants Boots Retail USA Inc. and The Boots		
18	Company PLC that, by Defendants' own admissions, contain far less than 70% organic		
19	ingredients, yet are labeled as "organic." Specifically, the Boots Amazon Forest Brazil Nut &		
20	Vanilla Body Wash states on the front label that the Product contains "ORGANIC vanilla."		
21	However, the list of ingredients on the back label of the Product identifies the following		
22	ingredients in the following order:		
23	Aqua (Water), Sodium laureth sulfate, Decyl glucoside, Sodium		
24	chloride, Cocamide DEA, Lauryl betaine, Dipropylene glycol,		
25	Parfum (Fragrance), Phenoxyethanol, Benzyl alcohol, PEG-250		
26	distearate, Gylcol distearate, Citric acid, Disodium EDTA,		
27	Potassium sorbate, Glycerin, Laureth-4, Styrene/acrylates		
28	copolymer, Benzophenone-4, Cocamidopropyl betaine, Theobroma		

1	Moisturizing Shampoo states on the front label that the Product contains "Certified Organic		
2	Ingredients." However, the list of ingredients on the back label of the Product identifies the		
3	following ingredients in the following order:		
4	Aqua (Water) (Eau), Sodium Laureth Sulfate, Cocamidopropyl		
5	Betaine, Polyquaternium-7, Glycol Stearate, Actinidia Chinensis		
6	(Kiwi) Fruit Extract, Citrus Grandis (Grapefruit) Seed Extract,		
7	Mentha Piperita (Peppermint) Leaf Extract, Ocimum Basilicum		
8	(Basil) Extract, Polygala Senega Root Extract, Nasturtium		
9	Officinale (Watercress) Extract, Salvia Officinalis (Sage) Leaf		
10	Extract, Thymus Vulgaris (Thyme) Extract, Rosmarinus Officinalis		
11	(Rosemary) Leaf Extract, Polyquaternium-10, Cocamide DEA,		
12	Amodimethicone, Polysorbate 20, Isostearyl		
13	Ethylimidazoliniumethosulfate, Citric Acid, Tetrasodium EDTA,		
14	Parfum (Fragrance), Hexyl Cinnamal, Limonene, Linalool,		
15	Methylparaben, Propylparaben, Methylchloroisothiazolinone,		
16	Methylisothiazolinone, CI 42053 (Green 3).		
17	Thus, of the thirty ingredients listed on the back label, not a single ingredient is identified as		
18	organic by Defendant's own admission. Accordingly, Defendant Colomer U.S.A. Ltd. has		
19	violated COPA. Health & Safety Code § 110890(a).		
20	60. The Clearly Head Conditioner by Defendants Cosway Company, Inc. and Head		
21	Organics Company is representative of other Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold in		
22	California by Defendants that, by Defendants' own admissions, contain far less than 70% organic		
23	ingredients, yet are labeled as "organic." Specifically, the Clearly Head Conditioner states on the		
24	front label that the Product is "Organic." However, the list of ingredients on the back label of the		
25	Product identifies the following ingredients in the following order:		
26	Water, Cetearyl Alcohol, Stearalkonium Chloride, Glyceryl		
27	Stearate, Phenoxyethanol, Botanical Fragrance, Dicetyldimonium		
28	Chloride, Dimethicone, Panthenol, Aloe Barbadensis (Aloe Vera)		

1	Leaf Extract*, Ethylhexyglycerin, Hydrolyzed Soy Protein,		
2	Tocopheryl Acetate, Camellia Sinensis (Green & White Tea) Leaf		
3	Extract*, Punica Granatum (Pomegranate) Fruit Extract*, Zingiber		
4	Officianale (Ginger) Root Extract*.		
5	* Certified Organic Ingredients		
6	Thus, of the fifteen ingredients listed on the back label, only four are certified organic by		
7	Defendants' own admission and those four organic ingredients – Aloe Barbadensis (Aloe Vera)		
8	Leaf Extract, Camellia Sinensis (Green & White Tea) Leaf Extract, Punica Granatum		
9	(Pomegranate) Fruit Extract and Zingiber Officianale (Ginger) Root Extract – are only the ninth		
10	and thirteenth through fifteenth most predominant ingredients in the Product (excluding water).		
11	As the ninth and thirteenth through fifteenth most predominant ingredients in the Clearly Head		
12	Conditioner (excluding water), these four organic ingredients cannot possibly make up more than		
13	26% of such Product, far less than the 70% required under COPA. Accordingly, Defendants		
14	Cosway Company, Inc. and Head Organics Company have violated COPA. Health & Safety Code		
15	§ 110890(a).		
16	61. The Curlicious Curls Cleansing Cream Organic Shampoo by Defendant Curls, LLC		
17	is representative of other Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold in California by		
18	Defendant Curls, LLC that, by Defendant's own admissions, contain far less than 70% organic		
19	ingredients, yet are labeled as "organic." Specifically, the Curlicious Curls Cleansing Cream		
20	Organic Shampoo states on the front label that the Product is "Organic." However, the list of		
21	ingredients on the back label of the Product identifies the following ingredients in the following		
22	order:		
23	Water, Sodium Cocyl Isethionate, Sodium Methyl Cocoyl Taurate,		
24	Cocamidopropyl Betaine, Steric Acid, Glycerin, Behentrimonium		
25	Methosulfate, Cetearyl Alcohol, Polyquaternium-7, Fragrance, Silk		
26	Amino Acids, Panthenol, Certified Organic Daucus Carota Sativa		
27	(Carrot) Seed Oil, Certified Organic Arnica Montana Flower		
28	Extract, Certified Organic Aesculus Hipocastanum (Horse Chestnut)		

1	Extract, Certified Organic Salvia Officinalis (Sage) Leaf Extract,		
2	Acrylates/C10-30 Alkyl Acrylate Crosspolymer, Potassium Sorbate,		
3	Phenoxyethanol, Caprylyl Glycol, Caramel.		
4	Thus, of the twenty-one ingredients listed on the back label, only four are certified organic by		
5	Defendant's own admission and those four organic ingredients - Certified Organic Daucus Caro		
6	Sativa (Carrot) Seed Oil, Certified Organic Arnica Montana Flower Extract, Certified Organic		
7	Aesculus Hipocastanum (Horse Chestnut) Extract and Certified Organic Salvia Officinalis (Sage)		
8	Leaf Extract – are only the twelfth through fifteenth most predominant ingredients in the Product		
9	(excluding water). As the twelfth through fifteenth most predominant ingredients in the		
10	Curlicious Curls Cleansing Cream Organic Shampoo (excluding water), these four organic		
11	ingredients cannot possibly make up more than 26% of such Product, far less than the 70%		
12	required under COPA. Accordingly, Defendant Curls, LLC has violated COPA. Health & Safety		
13	Code § 110890(a).		
14	62. The Psorzema® Body Wash by Defendants derma e® Natural Bodycare and		
15	Stearns Products, Inc. is representative of other Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold in		
16	California by Defendants derma e® Natural Bodycare and Stearns Products, Inc. that, by		
17	Defendants' own admissions, contain far less than 70% organic ingredients, yet are labeled as		
18	"organic." Specifically, the Psorzema® Body Wash states on the front label that the Product is		
19	"Organic." However, the list of ingredients on the back label of the Product identifies the		
20	following ingredients in the following order:		
21	Water (Aqua), Melia Azadirachta (Neem) Leaf Extract, Arctium		
22	Lappa (Burdock) Root Extract, Organic Chamomilla Recutita		
23	(Matricaria) Flower Extract*, Zanthoxylum Zanthoxyloides (Fagara)		
24	Bark Extract, Arctostaphylos Uva Ursi (Bearberry) Leaf Extract,		
25	Coptis Chineses (Chinese Golden Thread) Root Extract, Berberis		
26	Aquifolium (Barberry) Extract, Retinyl Palmitate Stearic Acid,		
27	Cetearyl Alcohol, Ceteareth 20, Glyceryl Sodium Glutamate,		

 $Polysorbate\ 20,\ Phenoxyethanol,\ Ethylhexylglycerin.$ 

as organic. Accordingly, Defendant House of Cheatham, Inc. has violated COPA. Health &

Glutmate, Sodium Lauryl Glucose Carboxylate, Cocamidopropyl

1	Betaine, Cocamide DEA, Decyl Glucoside, Linum Usitatissimum		
2	(Linseed Seed Extract), Polyglyceryl-4		
3	Diisostearate/Polyhydroxystearate/Sebacate, Sodium Benzoate,		
4	Potassium Sorbate, Eucalyptus Globulus (Eucalyptus Globulus Oil),		
5	Rosmarinus Officinalis (Rosemary Oil), Citric Acid, Sodium		
6	Chloride.		
7	Thus, of the dozens of ingredients listed on the back label, <i>not a single ingredient</i> is identified as		
8	organic. Accordingly, Defendants International Trade Routes of New York, Inc. and Laboratorios		
9	Phergal, S.A. have violated COPA. Health & Safety Code § 110890(a).		
10	65. The Kinky-Curly Spiral Spritz by Defendant Kinky-Curly Hair Care, LLC is		
11	representative of other Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold in California by Defendant		
12	Kinky-Curly Hair Care, LLC that, by Defendant's own admissions, contain far less than 70%		
13	organic ingredients, yet are labeled as "organic." Specifically, the Kinky-Curly Spiral Spritz states		
14	on the front label that the Product is "ORGANIC." However, the list of ingredients on the back		
15	label of the Product identifies the following ingredients in the following order:		
16	Lavender water, extracts of horsetail, nettles and sage, aloe vera gel,		
17	vitamin B-5, glycerin, citric acid, and grapefruit seed extract.		
18	Thus, of the nine ingredients listed on the back label, not a single one is identified as organic.		
19	Accordingly, Defendant Kinky-Curly Hair Care, LLC has violated COPA. Health & Safety Code		
20	§ 110890(a)		
21	66. The Hold Up® Styling Mousse by Defendant Kiss My Face Corporation is		
22	representative of other Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold in California by Defendant		
23	Kiss My Face Corporation that, by Defendant's own admissions, contain far less than 70% organic		
24	ingredients, yet are labeled as "organic." Specifically, the Hold Up® Styling Mousse states on the		
25	front label that the Product is "ORGANIC." However, the list of ingredients on the back label of		
26	the Product identifies the following ingredients in the following order:		
27	Aqua, Polysorbate 20, Hydrolized Wheat Protein, Polyquaternum		
28	11, Panthenol, Hydrolized Rice Protein, Arctium Lappa (Burdock		

1 ingredients in the following order: 2 Extracts of Bhringarai, Brahmi, Neem, Amla, Sage, Lavender, White Rose, 3 Frankincense & Myrrh. In a base of Sunflower, Almond, Apricot, Avocado & 4 Jojoba Oils. Organic hand picked St. Johns Wort flowers infused in cold pressed 5 olive oil. 6 Although the ingredient list for the Euro Organic Oil Simply Pure Hair & Scalp Therapy is confusing and may violate federal labeling law, it is clear that, by Defendant's own admission, 7 8 only one ingredient is organic, and that ingredient is not the most prominent ingredient in the Product. Accordingly, the Product cannot possibly contain 70% organic ingredients and 10 Defendant Morrocco Method, Inc. has violated COPA. Health & Safety Code § 110890(a). 11 69. The Organic Root Stimulator® Olive Oil Replenishing Pak by Defendant Namasté 12 Laboratories, L.L.C. is representative of other Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold in 13 California by Defendant Namasté Laboratories, L.L.C. that, by Defendant's own admissions, contain far less than 70% organic ingredients, yet are labeled as "organic." Specifically, the 14 15 Organic Root Stimulator Olive Oil Replenishing Pak states on the front label that the Product is 16 "Organic." However, the list of ingredients on the back label of the Product identifies the 17 following ingredients in the following order: 18 Aqua (Water/Eau), Glycine Soja (Soybean Oil), Glycerine, Olea 19 Europaea (Olive) Fruit Oil, Hydrolyzed Collagen, Quaternium-80, 20 Citrus Aurantium Dulcis (Orange) Oil, Citral, d'Limonene, Linalool, 21 Polyquaternium-37, Propylene Glycol Dicaprylate/Dicaprate, PPG-1 22 Trideceth-6, Panthenol, Silk Amino Acids, PEG-9 Dimethicone, 23 Anthemis Nobilis (Chamomile) Extract, Salvia Officinalis (Sage) 24 Extract, Urtica Dioica (Nettle) Extract, Rosmarinus Officinalis 25 (Rosemary) Extract, Aloe Barbadensis Leaf Juice, Achillea Millefolium (Yarrow) Extract, Actinidia Chinensis (Kiwi) Fruit 26 27 Juice, Dimethicone, Hydrolyzed Glycosaminoglycans, EDTA,

Triethanolamine, DMDM Hydantoin, Methylchloroisothiazolinone,

Methylisothiazolinone, CI 15985 (Yellow #6), CI 19140 (Yellow #5).

Thus, of the thirty-two ingredients listed on the back label, *not a single one is identified as organic*. Accordingly, Defendant Namasté Laboratories, L.L.C. has violated COPA. Health & Safety Code § 110890(a).

70. The Nature's Baby ORGANICS® Shampoo & Body Wash in Vanilla-Tangerine Scent or Lavender-Chamomile Scent by Defendant Nature's Baby Products, Inc. is representative of other Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold in California by Defendant Nature's Baby Products, Inc. that, by Defendant's own admissions, contain far less than 70% organic ingredients, yet are labeled as "organic." Specifically, the Nature's Baby ORGANICS® Shampoo & Body Wash in Vanilla-Tangerine Scent or Lavender-Chamomile Scent displays the word "ORGANICS" on the front label, which is a grammatical variation of the term "organic." However, the list of ingredients on the back label of the Product identifies the following ingredients in the following order:

Aqua (water), Decyl glucoside (vegetable origin), Cocamidopropyl betaine (from coconut oil), Disodium cocoyl glutamate (from coconut oil), Sodium lauroyl oat amino acid (from oat), Hydrolyzed wheat protein and wheat starch, Dimethyl lauramine oleate (from safflower oil), \*Organic Aloe barbadensis leaf juice (aloe vera), Butyrospermum park II (shea butter), Aleurites moluccana seed oil (kukui nut oil), Macadamia ternifolia seed oil (macadamia nut oil), Carthamus tinctorius (safflower) seed oil, \*Organic Symphytum officinale (Comfrey) extract, \*Organic Calendula officinalis (Calendula) extract, \*Organic Anthemis nobilis (Chamomile) extract, Chamomilla recutita (Matricaria) extract, Dl panthenol (pro vitamin B-5), D-alpha Tocopherol (natural vitamin E), Citric Acid, Sodium Benzoate (food grade preservative, kosher natural preservatives), Potassium sorbate (food grade preservative, kosher

Proteins), Guar Hydroxypropyltrimonium Chloride, Papain (Papaya

1

6 7

5

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

23 24

22

25

26

27

28

73. The Rainbow Baby Oh Baby® Unscented Organic Herbal Shampoo by Defendant Rainbow Research Corporation is representative of other Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold in California by Defendant Rainbow Research Corporation that, by Defendant's own admissions, contain far less than 70% organic ingredients, yet are labeled as "organic." Specifically, the Rainbow Baby Oh Baby® Unscented Organic Herbal Shampoo states on the front label that the Product is "Organic." However, the list of ingredients on the back label of the Product identifies the following ingredients in the following order:

> Purified Water; Decyl Glucoside (Corn); Coco Betaine (Coconut); Sorbitol; Olive Oil Carboxylate; Glucose; Dimethicone; Tocopherol (Vitamin E); Retinyl Palmitate (Vitamin A); Oat Amino Acids; Organic extracts of Calendula (Marigold), Chamomile, and Lemon Grass; Phenoxytol (Green Tea); Grapefruit Seed Extract.

Thus, of the fifteen ingredients listed on the back label, only three are certified organic by Defendant's own admission and those three organic ingredients – Organic extracts of Calendula (Marigold), Chamomile and Lemon Grass – are only the tenth, eleventh and twelfth most predominant ingredients in the Product (excluding water). As the tenth, eleventh and twelfth most predominant ingredients in the Rainbow Baby Oh Baby® Unscented Organic Herbal Shampoo (excluding water), these three organic ingredients cannot possibly make up more than 25% of such Product, far less than the 70% required under COPA. Accordingly, Defendant Rainbow Research Corporation has violated COPA. Health & Safety Code § 110890(a).

74. The Renpure™ Organics I Love My Hair! Body and Shine Shampoo by Defendant Renpure, L.L.C. is representative of other Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold in California by Defendant Renpure, L.L.C. that, by Defendant's own admissions, contain far less than 70% organic ingredients, yet are labeled as "organic." Specifically, the Renpure™ Organics I Love My Hair! Body and Shine Shampoo displays on the front label the word "ORGANICS," which is a grammatical variation of the term "organic." However, the list of ingredients on the back label of the Product identifies the following ingredients in the following order:

1	(Vitamin E), Ascorbic Acid (Vitamin C), Biotin (Vitamin H),		
2	Linoleic Acid (Vitamin F), Persia Gratissima (Avocado) Oil		
3	[contains Vitamins B2, D, A, E, K, and Potassium], Guar		
4	Hydroxypropyltrimonium Chloride, Methylparaben, Benzophenone-		
5	4, Hydrolyzed Silk, Melissa Officinalis (Mint Balm) Extract,		
6	Melaleuca Alternifolia (Tea Tree) Leaf Extract, Panax Ginseng		
7	(Ginseng) Root Extract, Cl 19140 (FD&C Yellow 5).		
8	Thus, of the twenty ingredients listed on the back label, not a single ingredient is listed as		
9	organic. Accordingly, Defendant Strength of Nature Global, LLC has violated COPA. Health &		
10	Safety Code § 110890(a).		
11	76. The Shea Moisture Organic Raw Shea Butter Moisture Retention Shampoo by		
12	Defendants Sundial Brands LLC, Sundial Creations LLC and Sundial Group LLC is representative		
13	of other Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold in California by these Defendants that, by		
14	Defendants' own admissions, contain far less than 70% organic ingredients, yet are labeled as		
15	"organic." Specifically, the Shea Moisture Organic Raw Shea Butter Moisture Retention		
16	Shampoo states on the front label that the Product is "ORGANIC." However, the list of		
17	ingredients on the back label of the Product identifies the following ingredients in the following		
18	order:		
19	Deionized Water, Decyl Glucoside (Sugar Beets), African		
20	Butyrospermum Parkii (Shea Butter)*, Aloe Vera Leaf Juice, Argan		
21	Oil, Panthenol (Pro-Vitamin B-5), Rosemary Extract, Sea Kelp		
22	Extract, Vitamin E, Lonicera Caprifolium (Honeysuckle) Flower		
23	(and) Lonicera Japonica (Japanese Honeysuckle) Flower Extract.		
24	* Certified Organic Ingredient		
25	Thus, of the ten ingredients listed on the back label, only one is certified organic by Defendants'		
26	own admission, and that ingredient is the second most predominant ingredient in the Product		
27	(excluding water). As the second most predominant ingredient in the Organic Raw Shea Butter		
28	Moisture Retention Shampoo (excluding water), this ingredient cannot possibly make up more		

1	Vogue International that, by Defendants' own admissions, contain far less than 70% organic		
2	ingredients, yet are labeled as "organic." Specifically, the Hydrating Teatree Mint Conditioner		
3	displays the word "organix" on the front label, which is a grammatical variation of the term		
4	"organic." However, the list of ingredients on the back label of the Product identifies the		
5	following ingredients in the following order:		
6	Aqua (Water), Parfum, Behentrimonium Methosulfate,		
7	Cyclopentasiloxane, Dimethicone, Cetearyl Glucoside, Glyceryl		
8	Stearate, Glycerin, Cetearyl Alcohol, Simmondsia Chinensis		
9	(Jojoba) Seed Oil, Cetyl Alcohol, DMDM Hydantoin, Panthenol,		
10	Silk Amino Acids, Melaleuca Alternifolia (Tea Tree) Leaf Oil,		
11	Hydrolyzed Milk Protein, Glycine Soja (Soybean) Oil, Menta		
12	Piperita (Peppermint) Oil, Butyrospermum Parkii (Shea Butter),		
13	Tocopheryl Acetate (Vitamin E), Tetrasodium EDTA,		
14	Methylchloroisothiazolinone, Methylisothiazolinone, Limonene,		
15	Citronellol.		
16	Thus, of the twenty-four ingredients listed on the back label, not a single one is identified as		
17	organic. Accordingly, Defendants Todd Christopher International, Inc. and Vogue International		
18	have violated COPA. Health & Safety Code § 110890(a).		
19	79. The examples set forth above are only a few of the Products that make up each		
20	Defendants' pattern and practice of selling Products as "organic" when in fact the Products do no		
21	come close to meeting the COPA requirements for organic cosmetic products.		
22	<u>FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION</u> (CEH Alleges Violations of COPA –		
23	Injunctive Relief Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 111910(a))		
24	80. CEH realleges and incorporates by reference as if specifically set forth herein		
25	Paragraphs 1 through 79, inclusive.		
26	81. CEH is a "person" within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 111910(a).		
27	82. Defendants have violated and continue to violate the provisions of COPA, Health		
28	& Safety Code §§ 110838 and/or 110839, as described above.		

- 1			
1	83.	Health & Safety Code § 111910(a) provides for injunctive relief for any violation	
2	of COPA and affords standing to "any person" to enforce such violations. That Section provides		
3	in part:		
4		any person may bring an action in superior court pursuant to this section	
5.		and the court shall have jurisdiction upon hearing and for cause shown, to grant a temporary or permanent injunction restraining any person from	
6		violating any provision of Article 7 (commencing with Section 110810) of Chapter 5.	
7	<i>Ibid.</i> That Section further provides that actions for injunctive relief to remedy violations of COPA		
8	are not subject to all of the same restrictions as other actions for injunctive relief. Specifically,		
9		the person shall not be required to allege facts necessary to show, or tending to show, lack of adequate remedy at law, or to show, or tending to show,	
10		irreparable damage or loss, or to show, or tending to show, unique or special individual injury or damages.	
11	Ibid.	special marvidual injury of damages.	
12	84.	CEH is thus entitled to both preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to restrain	
13	Defendants' violations of COPA. Health & Safety Code § 111910(a).		
14		Wherefore, CEH prays for judgment against Defendants, as set forth hereafter.	
15		PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
16		CEH prays for judgment and relief against Defendants as follows:	
17		A. That the Court preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants from	
18	violating COP	'A and require Defendants to correct their past violations of COPA; and	
19 20		B. That the Court grant CEH its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit	
20	pursuant to He	ealth & Safety Code § 111910(b).	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

DATED: June 16, 2011

## LEXINGTON LAW GROUP

Mark N. Todzo (State Bar No. 168389)
Howard J. Hirsch (State Bar No. 213209)
Lisa Burger (State Bar No. 239676)
LEXINGTON LAW GROUP
503 Divisadero Street
San Francisco, CA 94117
Telephone: (415) 913-7800
Facsimile: (415) 759-4112
mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com
hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com
lburger@lexlawgroup.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH